Q

App F IX 4

Previous References
me 8 26 28 144

1928
(me)

File No 66 28

Serial Nos 132 & 140

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos

Part B for 1928-29

SUBJECT

Re the crediting of the Sale proceeds of other trees to the Municipal Council of Bhadravati during the year 1925-26

140 Vol The Deputy-Commissioner, Shimoga, & the Comptroller to Government No L D 314-5/L D 2 29

Sanctions the proposal of the Municipal Council

H Sreenivasaya
18/2/29

Serial No

132 Letter No R. 3 C 1104/28-29 & 21 1 29/1
from Dy Comr, Shimoga Distt
States that on the sale of
the Rent Arrears of Bhadravati, the rate
of Arrears being for 10 months for
1-9-25 to 30-6-26 was sold by public
auction, that the sum of Rs 1,285/- realised
thereon was credited to the Funds, Iron
Coal declared by sanction the sale
and that the Comptroller has taken
objection to the Bad credits and requests
sanction to the sale
In the circumstances stated, draft
Sanct is submitted for orders
28/1/29 (Sd) 2nd Member 30/1

CSJ 1/2

1/2

140 To, The D C Shimoga & Comptroller
No L S 814 S/of S 2 29
Sanctions the proposal of
the municipal Council in the matter
refd to in R 132

GEN & REV SECTT 6/2/29
16 FEB 1929

NOTE—Contn sheet

Ml 66-28 - 182

No 3 C 1104/28-29 Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District
Dated, Shimoga the 21st January 1929

GEN & REV SECTT 3289 25 JAN 1929

From

B T Kesavlengar, Esquire B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To

R Ranga Rao Esquire B A , B L ,
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE

Sir,

In submitting herewith the report No 314 of 27-28 dated 26th May 1928 from the President, Bhadravati with the enclosures thereto, I have the honour to state that the Municipality, on the resolution of the Council, sold by public auction the right of collecting the Octroi fees for 10 months from 1-9-25 to 30-6-1926 on articles detailed in the margin This Procedure being inconsistent with the provisions of the Municipal Regulation sanctions to the sale was refused for this office Memo dated 26th June 1926 pending receipt of final orders of the Government The Government too in their order of L 902/Mul 8-26-4 dated 9th August 1926 did not sanction the sale of the right to collect the Octroi duty by Public auction.

Description	Municipal Resolution No and Date	Amount	Name of Contractor
1 Sheep	6,22nd July 1925	Rs 375/-	Abdul Haque
2 Betel leaves	6-14-8-25	Rs 390/-	---do --
3 Kerosin oil)	5-dated	Rs205/-	Abdul Wahid
4 Tobacco)	8-10-25	Rs 30/-	Abdul Khuddus
5 Sugar)		80/-	Abdul Huque
		Rs1280/-	

Meanwhile the full sale amount of Rs1280/- was credited to the Municipal funds This amount was not admitted by the auditors in their audit of the Municipal accounts for 1925-26 on the ground that the Government have not made any mention in their order quoted above about the amount already collected unauthorisedly, while refusing sanction to the sale of the right to collect the Octroi duty

In view of the fact that the amount is credited to the Municipal accounts and that the leaving out of the right was discontinued after June 1926 I request that the Government may kindly direct the Comptroller to audit the amount in question in audit as a special case

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner (on tour).

Shimoga District

G R S VI G 34]

SUBJECT

4

Diary No of letter replied to 32894

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L. S.)

the *192*

Date of issue 5/2/29

Signed by M D Rao

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ? J

iv translated ?

placed at the disposal of the press ?

v published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section Rl

File No 66-95

Serial No 140

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Letter No R D C 104/28-29 dated 21st Jany 1929 from the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dist, stating that on the reduction of the Rural Amani of Bhadravati the right of collecting abkari fees for 10 months from 1/4/25 to 30/6/26 was sold by public auction, that the Govt in their letter No L 982/Rl D-26-4 dated 9th August 1926 did not sanction the said sale, as it was inconsistent with the provisions of the Rural Regn, that in the meanwhile the sale amount of Rs 1,280/- was credited to Rural Funds and that the Govt

Auditors have not admitted the amount
in the Rural Council accounts for 1925-26
and requesting reasons for the shortfall
abreast collected by Rural Funds

Order No L 5314-5 of 5/2/29
Give Recvs

Sanctioned as a special
case

[illegible] Rural Dy

[illegible]

Dy Secy Comr, Nenagh Dist informed
" Chief

[illegible]
25/1/29

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1868—G1 B—1 000 06—21 12 28